Acta Cryst. (1986). A42, 44-47

Ferroelectric Space Groups

BY D. B. LITVIN

Department of Physics, The Pennsylvania State University, The Berks Campus, Reading, PA 19608, USA

(Received 18 March 1985; accepted 29 July 1985)

Abstract

The 440 ferroelectric space groups, viz the Heesch-Shubnikov (magnetic) space groups, which are symmetry groups of ferroelectric electric-dipole arrangements in crystals, are derived and tabulated. By considering automorphisms induced by the automorphisms of the discrete space-time group, we show that although ferroelectric, ferromagnetic and ferrocurrent point groups all number 31, the number of ferroelectric space groups differs from 275, which is that of both ferromagnetic and ferrocurrent space groups.

I. Introduction

Which of the 1651 Heesch-Shubnikov (magnetic) space groups are ferroelectric space groups, that is, symmetry groups of ferroelectric electric-dipole arrangements in crystals? Neronova & Belov (1960) have tabulated a list of 275 Heesch-Shubnikov space groups, which they call ferroelectric space groups. These authors, however, do not take into account the fact that the action of elements of Heesch-Shubnikov groups on a polarization P cannot be arbitrarily defined within the usual electromagnetic theory based on Maxwell's equations. Their choice of action is incompatible with that theory and consequently their list of 275 Heesch-Shubnikov space groups is not that of the ferroelectric space groups. With a choice of action that is compatible with that theory, Cracknell (1975) has stated that the number of ferroelectric space groups is 275, Schwarzenberger (1984) gives it as 265. No tabulation is given by either of these authors.

In § II we shall review the action of the discrete space-time group on electromagnetic quantities. We then derive and tabulate the 440 ferroelectric space groups-the Heesch-Shubnikov space groups that are symmetry groups of ferroelectric electric-dipole arrangements in crystals. In § III we discuss why, although the same number, 31, is the number of ferroelectric, ferromagnetic and ferrocurrent point groups, the number of ferroelectric space groups is different from the number, 275, of both ferromagnetic and ferrocurrent space groups.

II. Ferroelectric space groups

Let $\mathcal{U} = 1$, $\overline{1}$, 1', $\overline{1}$ ' denote the discrete space-time group consisting of the identity 1, space inversion $\overline{1}$, which Heesh-Shubnikov groups have a point group that is a subgroup of $\infty m1'$. (In a similar manner one finds all ferromagnetic and ferrocurrent space groups by determining which Heesch-Shubnikov groups have a point group that is a subgroup of ∞/mm' or

It follows from Table 1 and the vector properties

of P. M and J that the maximal symmetry group of

Basis functions of the irreducible representations, on the right, are given in terms of the charge density ρ and the components of polarization P. magnetization M and current density J.

Table 1. Character table of the discrete space-time

group U

1	<u>ī</u>	1'	<u> </u>	
1	1	1	1	ρ
1	-1	1	-1	P_{x}, P_{y}, P_{z}
1	1	-1	-1	$\begin{array}{c} P_{x}, P_{y}, P_{z} \\ M_{x}, M_{y}, M_{z} \end{array}$
1	-1	-1	1	J_{x}, J_{y}, J_{z}

Table 2. The thirty-one ferroelectric point groups

1	1'		
2	21'	2'	
m	m1'	m'	
<i>mm</i> 2	mm21'	<i>m'm</i> '2	m'm2'
3	31'		
3 <i>m</i>	3 <i>m</i> 1′	3 <i>m</i> ′	
4	41'	4'	
4mm	4 <i>mm</i> 1'	4 <i>m'm</i> '	4' <i>m</i> 'm
6	61'	6'	
6 <i>mm</i>	6 <i>mm</i> 1′	6 <i>m'm</i> '	6' <i>m</i> 'm

time inversion 1', and $\overline{1}$ ', the product of space inversion and time inversion. Further, let P, M, J and ρ denote the four quantities polarization, magnetization, current density and charge density, respectively, that appear in Maxwell's equations. These quantities can be classified according to the symmetry operations that are the elements of $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$ (Ascher, 1966). In Table 1 we give the character table of $\mathcal U$ and classify the four quantities that appear in Maxwell's equations according to irreducible representations of the group \mathcal{U} . This classification and the symmetry operations of \mathcal{U} on these quantities follows from the assumption that the charge density is invariant under \mathcal{U} and the covariance of Maxwell's equations under \mathcal{U} (Opechowski, 1985).

a polarization vector P is $\infty m1'$, of a magnetization vector M, ∞/mm' , and of a current density vector J, $\infty/m'm$. To determine which Heesch-Shubnikov groups are ferroelectric space groups, one determines

© 1986 International Union of Crystallography 0108-7673/86/010044-04\$01.50

Table 3. The four hundred and forty ferroelectric space groups

The table is subdivided into subtables, all groups in one subtable having the same point group listed at the top of the subtable. We list the groups in the notation of Opechowski & Guccione (1965) and, if it is different, on the right give the notation of Belov, Neronova & Smirnova (1957).

,

& SIIIII	nova (1957).										
	1	mm21'	' A _P b	'a'2 P _B	nc2		3		nm	P4'2n'm	
P1		$P_{2c}mm2$ $P_{c}m$	$nm2 F_{C}m$		mm2	P3		P4mm		P4'2nm'	
	1'		$mm2 \widetilde{F_A}m$		_c mm2	P31		P4bm		P4'c'c	
$P_{2s}1$	P_{s1}		$mm^2 = F_C m$		$_{A}mc2_{1}$	$P3_2$		P4 ₂ cm		P4'cc'	
$P_{2s}^{I_{2s}I}$	I SI	-	- C.		4cc2	R3		$P4_2nm$		P4'n'c	
FII					cc2 cbm2	110	21/	P4cc		P4'nc'	
	2						31'	P4nc		$P4_2'm'c$	
P_2					cma2	$P_{2c}3$	P_c3	$P4_2mc$		P4 ⁷ ₂ mc'	
P21		$P_{2c}m'm'^2 P_{c}c$			_C ba2	$P_{2c}3_{2}$	$P_c 3_2$	$P4_2bc$		P4 ² ₂ b'c	
C2		$P_{2a}m'm'2 P_{a}m$			mm2	$P_{2c}3_{1}$	$P_c 3_1$	I4200 I4mm		$P4_2'bc'$	
	21′		bm2 I _P m		$mn2_1$	R _R 3	R ₁ 3	I4mm I4cm		I4'm'm	
רמ			nc2 ₁ I _P m		nn2	P31'					
P_{2a}^2	$P_a 2$		nc2 ₁ I _P ba	P_I	cc2	P311'		$I4_1 md$		I4'mm'	
$P_{2b}2$	$P_b 2$	$P_C mc_{2_1} C_a r$	$mc2_1$ I_Pba	$P_I P_I$	ca21	P321'		I4 ₁ cd		14'c'm	
P_C^2	$C_a 2$	$P_{2a}mc'2'_1$ P_am	$nn2_1$ I_Pb'	$a'2 P_I$	ba2	R31'		4 <i>m</i>	m1'	I4'cm'	
$P_{2b}2'$	$P_b 2_1$	$P_{2b}m'c'2_1$ P_ac	$a2_1 I_P m$	$a_2 P_r$	ma2		3 <i>m</i>	$P_{2c}4mm$	P_c4mm	I4' ₁ m'd	
$P_{2a}2_{1}$	$P_a 2_1$	$P_{2a}cc2$ $P_{a}c$			na21	P3m1	5111	$P_P 4mm$	$P_C 4mm$	$I4'_1 md'$	
C_{2c}^{2}	$C_c 2$	$P_{C}cc2$ $C_{a}c$			$mc2_1$	P31m		$P_{I}4mm$	I _c 4mm	$I4_1'c'd$	
$C_P 2$	<i>P</i> _C 2	$P_{2b}c'c2'$ P_bn			nc2			$P_{2c}4'm'm$	$P_c 4_2 cm$	I4'1cd'	
$C_P 2'$	P_C^2				ncz	P3c1		$P_{2c}4'mm'$	$P_c 4_2 mc$	•	6
P21'	0.					P31c				D4	0
P211'			na2 Pmc			R3m		$P_{2c}4m'm'$	$P_c 4cc$	P6	
C21'			ma2 Pcc2			R3c		$P_P 4' mm'$	P _C 4bm	P61	
021			ba2 Pma				3 <i>m</i> 1′	$P_I 4m'm'$	$I_c 4cm$	P65	
	2'		$2a_1$ Pca			$P_{2c}3m1$	$P_c 3m1$	$P_{2c}4bm$	$P_c 4bm$	P6 ₂	
P2'		P _{2c} ma'2' P _c m	nn2 ₁ Pnc	21′		$P_{2c}^{2c}3m'1$	$P_c 3c1$	$P_{2c}4'b'm$	$P_c 4_2 nm$	P6 ₄	
P2'1		$P_{2c}m'a'^2 P_{c}m'$	ıc2 Pmi	12 ₁ 1'				$P_{2c}^{2}4'bm'$	$P_c 4_2 bc$	P63	
C2'		$P_A m' a' 2 A_c b$	ba2 Pba	21'		$P_{2c}31m$	$P_c 31m$	$P_{2c}4b'm'$	P_c4nc		(1)
	m		ca2 ₁ Pna			$P_{2c}31m'$	$P_c 31c$	$P_P 4_2 cm$	$P_C 4_2 mc$		61'
Pm			na2 ₁ Pnn			$R_R 3m$	$R_I 3m$	$P_P 4_2^{\tilde{i}} cm'$	$P_C 4_2 bc$	$P_{2c}6$	$P_c 6$
Pc				m21'		R _R 3m'	$R_I 3c$	$P_1 4_2 nm$	$I_c 4_1 m d$	$P_{2c}6'$	$P_{c}6_{3}$
				$c2_{1}1'$		P3m11'		$P_1 4_2 n'm'$	$I_c 4_1 cd$	$P_{2c}6_{2}$	$P_c 6_1$
Cm						P31m1'		$P_P 4cc$	$P_C 4cc$	$P_{2c}6'_{2}$	$P_c 6_4$
Cc			•			P3c11'				$P_{2c}6_{4}$	$P_c 6_2$
	m1'	20 1 4		m21′		P31c1'		P _P 4'cc'	$P_C 4nc$	$P_{2c}6'_{4}$	$P_c 6_5$
$P_{2a}m$	P _a m		ba2 Abr			R3m1'		$P_P 4_2 mc$	$P_C 4_2 cm$	P61'	
$P_{2b}m$	$P_{b}m$		na2 ₁ Am			R3c1'		$P_P 4'_2 mc'$	$P_C 4_2 nm$	P6 ₁ 1'	
$P_C m$	$C_a m$		nn2 Aba				2	$I_P 4mm$	$P_I 4mm$	P651'	
$P_{2c}m'$	$P_c c$			m21'			3 <i>m</i> ′	$I_P 4' m' m$	$P_I 4_2 nm$	P6 ₂ 1'	
$P_{2a}c$	$P_a c$	$C_{2c}mm2$ C_{cl}	mm2 Fda	21'		P3m'1		I _P 4'mm'	$P_I 4_2 mc$	$P_{6_41'}$	
		$C_P mm^2 P_c m$	mm2 Imr	n21′		P31m'		$I_P 4m'm'$	$P_I 4nc$		
$P_{2b}c$	$P_b c$	$C_{l}mm2$ $I_{c}m$	nm2 Iba	21′		P3c'1		I _P 4cm	P _I 4bm	P6 ₃ 1′	
$P_{C}c$	C _a c		mc2 ₁ Ima			P31c'		$I_P 4' c' m$	$P_1 4_2 cm$		6'
$C_{2c}m$	$C_c m$	$C_{2c}m'm'2$ C_{c}	cc2	m'm	2'	R3m'		$\hat{I}_{P}4'cm'$	$P_1 4_2 bc$	P6'	
$C_P m$	$P_C m$		ma2 Pm		-	R3c'		$I_P 4c'm'$	$P_I 4cc$	P6'1	
$C_{2c}m'$	$C_c c$		ba2 Pm				4	P4mm1'		P65	
$C_P m'$	P _A c					P4	4	P4bm1'		P6'2	
$C_{P}c$	P _C c		na2 Pm							P64	
Pm1'			ba2 Pc'			P41		$P4_2cm1'$		P6'3	
Pc1'			$mc2_1$ Pm			P42		$P4_2nm1'$		103	
Cm1'			ca2 ₁ Pm			P43		P4cc1'			6 <i>mm</i>
Cc1'			$_{c}mn2_{1}$ Pc'_{1}			I4		P4nc1'		P6mm	
001	,	$C_P m' c' 2_1 P_C$	na2 ₁ Pca	'2'1		I4 ₁		$P4_2mc1'$		P6cc	
_ .	m'	$C_P cc2 P_C$	-cc2 Pn'	c2'			41'	P42bc1'		P63cm	
Pm'		$C_P c' c 2' P_C$	nc2 Pnc	:'2'		$P_{2c}4$	<i>P</i> _c 4	I4mm1'		$P6_3mc$	
Pc'				'n2'1		$P_P 4$	P_C^4	I4cm1'			6 <i>mm</i> 1′
Cm'				$n'2'_1$		$P_I 4$	I _c 4	$I4_1 md1'$		$P_{2c}6mm$	P _c 6mm
Cc'			mm2 Pb'			$P_{2c}4'$	$P_c 4_2$	$I4_1 cd 1'$			$P_{2c}6_3 cm$
	mm2		mm2 Pn'						m'm'		
Pmm2			ma2 Pno			$P_P 4_1$	$P_C 4_1$				$P_c 6_3 mc$
$Pmc2_1$			$mn2_1$ Pn'			$P_{2c}4_2$	$P_c 4_1$	P4m'm'		$P_{2c}6m'm$	r _c occ
Princ 21 Pcc 2				" <i>m</i> 2"		$P_P 4_2$	$P_C 4_2$	P4b'm'		P6mm1'	
Pma2						$P_I 4_2$	$I_c 4_1$	$P4_2c'm'$		P6cc1'	
				$c2'_{1}$		$P_{2c}\bar{4}'_{2}$	$P_c 4_3$	$P4_2n'm'$		$P6_3 cm1'$	
$Pca2_1$				$c'2'_1$		$P_P 4_3$	$P_C 4_3$	P4c'c'		$P6_3mc1'$	
Pnc2			bm2 Cc			I _P 4	$P_I 4$	P4n'c'			6 <i>m' m</i> '
$Pmn2_1$				ı'm2'		I _P 4′	$P_I 4_2$	$P4_2m'c'$		P6m'm'	-
Pba2				ım'2'		$I_P 4_1$	$P_I 4_1$	$P4_2b'c'$		P6c'c'	
$Pna2_1$			•	'm2'		$I_P 4'_1$	$P_{I}4_{3}$	I4m'm'		P6 ₃ c'm'	
Pnn2		$A_P b' m 2' P_B$		m'2'		P41'		I4c'm'		$P6_3m'c'$	
Cmm2		$A_P bm'2' P_B$	ca2 ₁ An	ı'a2'		P411'		$I4_1m'd'$		-	
$Cmc2_1$				1a'2'		P4 ₂ 1'		$I4_1c'd'$			6' <i>m</i> 'm
Ccc2				'a2'		P4 ₃ 1'		-		P6'm'm	
Amm2			ma2 Ab						'm'm	P6'mm'	
Abm2				"m2"		I41'		P4'm'm		P6'c'c	
Abm2 Ama2						<i>I</i> 4 ₁ 1′		P4'mm'		P6'cc'	
				'd2'			4'	P4'b'm		P6' ₃ c'm	
Aba2				'm2'		P4'		P4'bm'		P6'3cm'	
Fmm2		$A_P ba2 P_A$	ba2 Ib'			$P4'_1$		$P4_2'c'm$		$P6_3m'c$	
Fdd 2				'a2'		$P4_2^i$		P4 ⁷ ₂ cm'			
Imm2		A _P ba'2' P _B	₃ na2 ₁ Im	a'2'		P43		2		P6'3mc'	
Iba2						I4'					
Ima2						I4'1					
						- •1					

Table 4. Automorphisms of the discrete space-time group \mathcal{U}

An element in the *i*th row of the left-hand column is mapped under the automorphism A_j in the *j*th column of the top row into the element of \mathcal{U} at the intersection of the *i*th row and *j*th column.

	A_0	A_1	A_2	A_3	A_4	A_5
1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1		ī	ī'			1′
1′	1′	ī'		ī		ī'
ī′	ī'	1′	ĩ	ī'	1'	ī

 ∞/m' m.) The point groups of Heesch-Shubnikov groups that are subgroups of $\infty m1'$ -the ferroelectric point groups-are listed in Table 2.

In Table 3 we list the 440 ferroelectric space groups. These are all the Heesch-Shubnikov groups whose point group is one of the ferroelectric groups (listed in Table 2). Table 3 has been subdivided into subtables, all ferroelectric groups with the same ferroelectric point group appearing in one subtable.

There are 275 ferromagnetic space groups (Neronova Belov, 1960; Opechowski & Guccione, 1965) and 275 ferrocurrent space groups. The latter have been listed by Neronova & Belov (1960) but are called by them ferroelectric space groups. This nomenclature is incompatible with the usual electromagnetic theory based on Maxwell's equations, and is misleading as it does not give the correct number of ferroelectric space groups. Of the 440 ferroelectric space groups listed in Table 3, 68 are non-magnetic space groups F, 68 are of the form F1'and, using the notation of Opechowski & Guccione (1965), 129 are magnetic groups M_T and 175 are magnetic groups M_R . We note that the sum of the first three types is 265, the number of ferroelectric space groups given by Schwarzenberger (1984).

III. Numerology

There are 31 ferroelectric point groups, 31 ferromagnetic point groups and 31 ferrocurrent point groups (Ascher, 1966; Cracknell, 1972; Kopsky, 1976; Ascher & Janner, undated). There are 275 ferromagnetic space groups, 275 ferrocurrent space groups and 440 ferroelectric space groups. To understand why the number of ferroelectric, ferromagnetic and ferrocurrent point groups is the same, and why the number of ferromagnetic and ferrocurrent space groups is the same, though different from that of ferroelectric space groups, requires considering the automorphisms of the discrete space-time group \mathcal{U} .

The six automorphisms A_1 , i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, of the discrete space-time group \mathcal{U} are listed in Table 4 (Kopsky, 1976). These automorphisms of \mathcal{U} induce automorphisms of the group $R_+(3) \times \mathcal{U}$, where $R_+(3)$ is the group of all proper three-dimensional rotations: Let $A_i[u]$ denote the element of \mathcal{U} into which the Table 5. The set of all ferroelectric (FE), ferromagnetic (FM) or ferrocurrent (FC) point groups given in the ith row of the left-hand column is mapped under the automorphism A_j in the jth column of the top row into a set of FE, FM or FC point groups according to the entry at the intersection of the ith row and jth column

	A_0	A_1	A_2	A_3	A_4	A_5
FE	FE	FC	FE	FM	FM	FC
FM	FM	FM	FC	FE	FC	FE
FC	FC	FE	FM	FC	FE	FM

element u of \mathcal{U} is mapped under the automorphism A_i . The mapping $A_i[R_+u] = R_+A_i[u]$ then defines an automorphism of $R_+(3) \times \mathcal{U}$. Under these automorphisms of $R_+(3) \times \mathcal{U}$, the set of all ferroelectric point groups is mapped into sets of point groups, which, depending on the automorphism A_{i} , are sets of distinct ferroelectric, ferromagnetic or ferrocurrent point groups. The same is true for the set of all ferromagnetic point groups and the set of all ferrocurrent point groups. In Table 5 we show how each set of all ferroelectric, ferromagnetic and ferrocurrent point groups is mapped under each of the automorphisms of $R_{+}(3) \times \mathcal{U}$ induced by the automorphisms A_{i} of U. It follows that the number of ferroelectric, ferromagnetic and ferrocurrent point groups is the same. For example, the automorphism A_2 maps the set of all ferromagnetic point groups into a set of distinct ferrocurrent point groups and simultaneously the set of all ferrocurrent point groups into a set of distinct ferromagnetic point groups. Consequently, the number of ferromagnetic and ferrocurrent point groups is the same.

However, only the identity automorphism A_0 and the automorphism A_2 of \mathcal{U} induce automorphisms of the group $\mathcal{E}_+(3) \times \mathcal{U}$, where $\mathcal{E}_+(3)$ is the proper three-dimensional Euclidian group. From the automorphism induced by the automorphism A_2 of \mathcal{U} , it follows that the number of ferromagnetic and ferrocurrent space groups is the same. As there are no other such induced automorphisms, we conclude that the number of ferroelectric space groups may be (and, as we have shown, is) different.

I thank Professor W. Opechowski for suggesting this problem. I am also grateful for the hospitality he extended to me while I was visiting the University of British Columbia. The financial aid of the Faculty Scholarship Support Fund of The Pennsylvania State University is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- ASCHER, E. (1966). Helv. Phys. Acta, 39 40-48. ASCHER, E. & JANNER, A. G. M. (undated). Properties of Shub-
- nikov Point Groups. Battelle Institute, Geneva, Switzerland.
- BELOV, N. V., NERONOVA, N. N. & SMIRNOVA, T. S. (1957). Sov. Phys. Crystallogr. 2, 311-322.

CRACKNELL, A. P. (1972). Acta Cryst. A28, 597-601.

CRACKNELL, A. P. (1975). Magnetism in Crystalline Materials. Applications of the Theory of Groups of Cambient Symmetry. Oxford: Pergamon Press.

KOPSKY, V. (1976). J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 3, 201-211.

NERONOVA, N. N. & BELOV, N. V. (1960). Sov. Phys. Crystallogr. 4 769-774.

OPECHOWSKI, W. (1985). Crystallographic and Metacrystallographic Groups. Amsterdam: North Holland.

- OPECHOWSKI, W. & GUCCIONE, R. (1965). Magnetism, Vol. IIA edited by G. T. Rado & H. Suhl, pp. 105-165 New York: Academic Press.
- SCHWARZENBERGER, R. L. E. (1984). Bull. London Math. Soc. 16, 209-240.

Acta Cryst. (1986). A42, 47-55

Neutron Diffraction Investigation of the Nuclear and Magnetic Extinction in MnP

BY J. BARUCHEL

Institut Laue-Langevin, 156X, 38042 Grenoble CEDEX, France

C. PATTERSON

Department of Applied Physics, University of Hull, Hull HU67RX, England

and J. P. Guigay

Laboratoire Louis Néel, CNRS-USMG, 166X, 38042 Grenoble CEDEX, France

(Received 8 May 1985; accepted 22 August 1985)

Abstract

The absolute values of the reflecting powers ρ are measured for the 200 and $2 \pm \tau$, 0, 0 set of magnetic and nuclear reflections in the helimagnetic phase of a good-quality crystal of MnP as a function of its thickness. Severe and very different extinction effects are observed for the magnetic and nuclear reflections $(y_{\text{magnetic}} \sim 0.4, y_{\text{nuclear}} \sim 0.02$ for the largest thickness). This corresponds to the spectacular result that the magnetic reflecting powers ρ_{\pm} are twice as big as the nuclear one ρ_N , in spite of the fact that the scattering cross sections $|F_{\pm}|^2$ are about ten times smaller than the nuclear $|F_{\lambda}|^2$. The nuclear results appear consistent with dynamical theory while the magnetic ones are not. They can be explained by Zachariasen's type II secondary extinction model based on the chirality domain pattern. The same measurements were performed in the ferromagnetic phase, yielding $y_{\text{ferro}} \simeq 0.03$. A model using the relative sizes of the ferromagnetic and chirality domains is presented.

I. Introduction

The basic publication on extinction for the neutron case, within the framework of the mosaic model, is now nearly forty years old (Bacon & Lowde, 1948). Since then most of the improvements introduced to correct the extinction of the intensities diffracted by a single-crystal sample originate from the theory based on the Darwin energy transfer equations

0108-7673/86/010047-09\$01.50

worked out by Zachariasen (1967). This theory was modified to take into account the anisotropy of the extinction by Coppens & Hamilton (1970) and Thornley & Nelmes (1974). The formalism was reconsidered and improved by Cooper & Rouse (1970) and Becker & Coppens (1974*a*, *b*) in order to apply it to spherical or ellipsoidal crystals, the theory being extended to non-spherical crystals with anisotropic extinction by Becker & Coppens (1975).

The main limitation of Zachariasen's theory is in its kinematical approach to the scattering, as pointed out by Werner (1969, 1974): the coherence of the transmitted and diffracted beams is not taken into account, and so this method does not appear to be suitable for correction for severe primary extinction. Another approach, starting from the dynamical theory of diffraction, was worked out for distorted crystals by several authors (Klar & Rustichelli, 1973; Gronkowski & Malgrange, 1984; Kulda, 1984), but mainly by Kato (1976), who has partially reconciled the two approaches. He shows that for optical coherence lengths smaller than the extinction distance Λ the new treatment leads to the usual coupling equations. Kato (1980) has also developed a consistent statistical theory of extinction covering the whole range of crystal quality from perfect (dynamical theory) to ideally imperfect (kinematical approximation). The results of this last theory have recently been compared to those of previous ones (Becker & Dunstetter, 1984) and experimentally tested using polarized neutrons (Guigay, Schlenker, Baruchel & Schweizer, unpublished).

© 1986 International Union of Crystallography